



# Relationship between breastfeeding duration and prevalence of posterior crossbite in the deciduous dentition

Henri Menezes Kobayashi,<sup>a</sup> Helio Scavone Jr,<sup>b</sup> Rívea Inês Ferreira,<sup>b</sup> and Daniela Gamba Garib<sup>b</sup>

São Paulo, Brazil

**Introduction:** This cross-sectional retrospective epidemiologic study assessed the relationship between exclusive breastfeeding duration and the prevalence of posterior crossbite in the deciduous dentition. **Methods:** Clinical examinations were performed in 1377 Brazilian children (690 boys, 687 girls), 3 to 6 years old, from 11 public schools in São Paulo, Brazil. Based on questionnaires answered by the parents, the children were classified into 4 groups according to the duration of exclusive breastfeeding: G1, never (119 subjects); G2, less than 6 months (720 subjects); G3, 6 to 12 months (312 subjects); and G4, more than 12 months (226 subjects). The statistical analyses included the chi-square test ( $P < 0.05$ ) and the odds ratio. **Results:** The posterior crossbite was observed in 31.1%, 22.4%, 8.3%, and 2.2% of the children, in groups G1, G2, G3, and G4, respectively. The results showed a statistically significant relationship between exclusive breastfeeding duration and the prevalence of posterior crossbite. **Conclusions:** Children who were breastfed for more than 12 months had a 20-fold lower risk for the development of posterior crossbite compared with children who were never breastfed and a 5-fold lower risk compared with those breastfed between 6 and 12 months. (Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2010;137:54-8)

**M**others' milk is a highly nutritious food that diminishes infant mortality, helps to prevent diseases, promotes immunologic and antiallergic protection, and reduces obesity and gastrointestinal problems; it is also directly linked to the baby's emotional and affective needs.<sup>1-3</sup> From the oral-health viewpoint, the method and duration of infant feeding have been related to the development of severe early childhood caries.<sup>4,6</sup> Furthermore, some authors have pointed out that breastfeeding provides the advantage of greater oral muscle exercise over bottle feeding.<sup>7-9</sup> In 2002, based on a systematic review of the literature, the World Health Organization<sup>10</sup> recommended a minimum of exclusive maternal breastfeeding up to the age of 6 months. Moreover, in orthodontics, breastfeeding might influence craniofacial growth and development, help to prevent nonnutritive sucking habits, and stimulate the harmonious functional development of the stomatognathic system.<sup>11-14</sup>

Because alterations in occlusal development might be the result of genetic or environmental factors, various authors have studied the relationship between breastfeeding and malocclusion, but the literature is still controversial about this subject.<sup>15</sup> Some authors found no relationship between breastfeeding and the development of malocclusions.<sup>16,17</sup> Warren and Bishara,<sup>17</sup> after assessing 372 children, 4 to 5 years old, found no statistically significant associations between breastfeeding duration and the prevalence of anterior open bite, posterior crossbite, and increased overjet. However, other studies have pointed out that insufficient breastfeeding duration is related to malocclusions, particularly posterior crossbites.<sup>18-21</sup> Because this type of malocclusion develops early and rarely self-corrects, the deciduous dentition is an excellent phase to promote preventive or interceptive measures. Therefore, the purpose of this research was to analyze the relationship between exclusive breastfeeding duration and the prevalence of posterior crossbite in the deciduous dentition.

From the Department of Orthodontics, University of São Paulo City, Universidade Cidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

<sup>a</sup> Postgraduate student.

<sup>b</sup> Associate professor.

The authors report no commercial, proprietary or financial interest in the products or companies described in this article.

Reprint requests to: Henri Menezes Kobayashi, R. Cesário Galeno, 432/448, São Paulo-SP, 03071-000, Brazil; e-mail, [henrimenezeskobayashi@yahoo.com](mailto:henrimenezeskobayashi@yahoo.com).

Submitted, October 2007; revised and accepted, December 2007.

0889-5406/\$36.00

Copyright © 2010 by the American Association of Orthodontists.

doi:10.1016/j.ajodo.2007.12.033

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was done according to the Resolution Act 196/96 from the Brazilian National Committee of Health.

The sample consisted of 1377 Brazilian children (690 boys, 687 girls) in the complete deciduous dentition phase, from 3 to 6 years of age, enrolled at 11 public schools in eastern São Paulo, Brazil. Furthermore, other

**Table I.** Prevalence of the types of posterior crossbite according to age in the total sample

Posterior crossbite	Age (y)								Total sample	
	3		4		5		6			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Absent	141	87.6	415	85.2	450	83.3	142	75.1	1,148	83.4
Bilateral	4	2.5	11	2.3	14	2.6	10	5.3	39	2.8
True unilateral	7	4.3	16	3.3	29	5.4	9	4.8	61	4.4
Functional unilateral	9	5.6	45	9.2	47	8.7	28	14.8	129	9.4
Total	161	100.0	487	100.0	540	100.0	189	100.0	1,377	100.0

inclusion criteria for sample selection were no extensive carious lesions, missing teeth, dental anomalies of shape, number, structure, and eruption, as well as no history of orthodontic treatment, traumatic injuries to the craniofacial complex, or oral surgeries. These criteria were used to exclude changes in occlusal relationships that could interfere with our results.

The clinical examinations were performed by 3 previously calibrated orthodontists (kappa: 0.89-1.00;  $r > 0.90$ ). The occlusal relationships were examined by direct visual inspection with the teeth in centric occlusion. Posterior crossbite was diagnosed when an inverted relationship of occlusion was observed between at least 1 posterior tooth (deciduous canine or molar) in the transverse plane.<sup>22,23</sup> Posterior crossbite in the deciduous dentition was classified into 3 categories: bilateral, true unilateral, and unilateral with functional deviation of the mandible.<sup>22,24</sup>

Based on questionnaires answered by the mothers, a retrospective investigation was made concerning the length of time that children were exclusively breastfed. Accordingly, children were classified into 4 groups: group 1 (G1), never breastfed (n = 119); group 2 (G2), breastfed for less than 6 months (n = 720); group 3 (G3), breastfed for 6 to 12 months (n = 312); and group 4 (G4), breastfed for more than 12 months (n = 226). Information on nonnutritive sucking habits was also requested in the questionnaires.

Statistical analyses were performed with Stata software (version 8.0, StataCorp, College Station, Tex). The Pearson chi-square test was used to verify the association between posterior crossbite prevalence and breastfeeding duration ( $P < 0.05$ ). In addition, the odds ratio (OR) was used to measure the strength of the association and the relative chances of developing the investigated malocclusion.

## RESULTS

For the total sample, the results showed a posterior crossbite prevalence of 16.6%, with 2.8% of the chil-

**Table II.** Distribution of the sample and prevalence of posterior crossbite in the 4 groups analysed, according to breastfeeding duration irrespective of gender

Group	Sample		Presence of posterior crossbite	
	n	%	n	%
G1	119	8.6	37	31.1
G2	720	52.3	161	22.4
G3	312	22.7	26	8.3
G4	226	16.4	5	2.2
Total	1,377	100.0	229	16.6

G1, Never breastfed; G2, breastfed for <6 months; G3, breastfed for 6-12 months; G4, breastfed for >12 months.

dren having bilateral crossbite, 4.4% with true unilateral crossbite, and 9.4% having functional unilateral crossbite (Table I). Posterior crossbite was more prevalent in older than in younger children during the deciduous dentition (Table I).

Table II shows that 8.6% of the children were never breastfed (G1), 52.3% were exclusively breastfed for less than 6 months (G2), and 39.1% were exclusively breastfed for more than 6 months (G3 and G4). Furthermore, the prevalence of posterior crossbite gradually decreased as breastfeeding duration increased: 31.1% for G1 and only 2.2% for G4.

There was a statistically significant relationship between exclusive breastfeeding duration and the prevalence of posterior crossbite (Table III) in the 6 comparisons in the 4 groups, particularly between groups G1 and G3, G1 and G4, G2 and G3, and G2 and G4 ( $P = 0.0000$ ). Therefore, children who had never been breastfed exhibited a higher prevalence of posterior crossbite compared with children who were exclusively breastfed between 6 and 12 months (OR = 4.9) and also compared with children who were breastfed for more than 12 months (OR = 19.9). Children who were breastfed for less than 6 months had a 3-fold higher risk compared with children who were exclusively breastfed between 6 and 12 months, and a 12-fold higher risk

**Table III.** Intergroup comparisons for prevalence of posterior crossbite (total sample)

Comparison	Chi-square	P value	OR
G1/G2	4.31	0.0378	1.57
G1/G3	35.67	0.0000	4.96
G1/G4	60.63	0.0000	19.94
G2/G3	28.84	0.0000	3.17
G2/G4	48.21	0.0000	12.73
G3/G4	9.03	0.0027	4.02

G1, Never breastfed; G2, breastfed for <6 months; G3, breastfed for 6-12 months; G4, breastfed for >12 months.

**Table IV.** Prevalence of posterior crossbite in the groups, excluding children with nonnutritive sucking habits

Group	Sample		Presence of posterior crossbite	
	n	%	n	%
G1	22	4.4	4	18.2
G2	161	32.0	18	11.2
G3	132	26.2	1	0.8
G4	188	37.4	0	0
Total	503	100.0	23	4.6

G1, Never breastfed; G2, breastfed for <6 months; G3, breastfed for 6-12 months; G4, breastfed for >12 months.

compared with children who were breastfed for more than 12 months.

Table IV shows the distribution of posterior crossbite prevalence according to the breastfeeding period only for children with no nonnutritive sucking habits (finger or pacifier). Again, a gradual decrease in the prevalence of this malocclusion was observed as breastfeeding duration increased, particularly in groups G3 and G4, comprising children breastfed for more than 6 months. In these 2 groups, only 1 child with posterior crossbite was found, indicating a combined prevalence of 0.31%. When the chi-square test was applied in the group of children without nonnutritive sucking habits (Table V), statistically significant relationships were seen between exclusive breastfeeding duration and the prevalence of posterior crossbite between groups G1 and G3 ( $P < 0.0000$ ) and G2 and G3 ( $P < 0.0003$ ). Children who were never breastfed had a 29-fold higher risk for developing posterior crossbite compared with the children who were exclusively breastfed between 6 and 12 months. Children breastfed for less than 6 months had a 16-fold higher risk compared with children whose exclusive breastfeeding was interrupted between 6 and 12 months. For the other paired comparisons

**Table V.** Intergroup comparisons for prevalence of posterior crossbite, excluding children with nonnutritive sucking habits

Comparison	Chi-square	P value	OR
G1/G2	0.89	0.3449	—
G1/G3	18.11	0.0000	29.11
G1/G4	—	—	—
G2/G3	12.95	0.0003	16.49
G2/G4	—	—	—
G3/G4	—	—	—

G1, Never breastfed; G2, breastfed for <6 months; G3, breastfed for 6-12 months; G4, breastfed for >12 months.

involving G4, it was not possible to estimate the OR because of a null prevalence of posterior crossbite in this group, making mathematical calculations unfeasible.

## DISCUSSION

Only 3 studies suggested a relationship between longer breastfeeding and lower prevalence of posterior crossbite.<sup>18,19,21</sup> Viggiano et al,<sup>18</sup> with logistic regression, compared 1099 children with nonnutritive sucking habits who were breastfed with those with nonnutritive sucking habits who were bottlefed. They found that children with nonnutritive sucking habits who were bottlefed had a higher risk of developing posterior crossbite compared with the children with similar sucking habits who were exclusively breastfed. Karjalainen et al<sup>19</sup> assessed only 148 children (age, 3 years) and found that the mean exclusive breastfeeding duration in the total sample was 5.8 months, whereas, in the children with posterior crossbite, the mean duration was only 3.6 months. Furthermore, Peres et al<sup>21</sup> examined 359 children (age, 6 years) and verified that those who were breastfed for less than 9 months and also had nonnutritive sucking habits between 1 and 4 years of age showed a 7.5-fold higher risk compared with those who were breastfed for more than 9 months and had no habits.

On the other hand, Ogaard et al<sup>16</sup> and Warren and Bishara<sup>17</sup> found no significant relationship between breastfeeding duration and prevalence of posterior crossbite. Nevertheless, these studies showed high percentages of mothers who never breastfed their children; this could have made it difficult to make comparisons among the breastfed groups.

In relation to the previous studies, our investigation had some particularities, since it was especially designed to evaluate the relationship between breastfeeding and a specific kind of malocclusion—posterior crossbite. Furthermore, analyses were carried out in both the total sample and the group of children with

no nonnutritive sucking habits. This last procedure excluded the influence of this variable, considering that many studies have proved the relationship between persistent nonnutritive sucking habits and the development of posterior crossbite.<sup>7,8,12,13,17-19,21,24-28</sup>

In addition to the aspects discussed previously, our results also seem to suggest that the use of the feeding bottle could have a deleterious effect on the development of occlusion, perhaps as a predisposing factor for posterior crossbite. This hypothesis can be raised because children who were not breastfed were necessarily bottlefed. Some authors have argued that the feeding bottle is considered a deleterious habit, particularly for the development of the anterior segment of the dental arches.<sup>28-30</sup> Our study suggests that this relationship should be better investigated, since various physiologic aspects of muscular mechanisms are involved in breastfeeding and bottlefeeding.<sup>7-9</sup> The results demonstrated that, in children who were never breastfed and had no nonnutritive sucking habits (G1; Table IV), there was a prevalence of 18.2% for posterior crossbite, whereas in group G1 of the total sample, which also included children with nonnutritive sucking habits (Table II), the prevalence of this malocclusion was 31.1%. This difference points to the fact that the absence of nonnutritive sucking habits reduced the prevalence of posterior crossbite by almost 50%, but was not sufficient for the total prevention of this malocclusion. On the other hand, in G3 and G4 of the total sample, their combined prevalence was 5.76%, as opposed to only 0.31% in the children without nonnutritive sucking habits. Therefore, simply breastfeeding a child exclusively for more than 6 months can sharply reduce the prevalence of posterior crossbite, compared with children who were never breastfed (31.1%), even without excluding the deleterious influence of nonnutritive sucking habits. Moreover, when this latter factor was eliminated, the prevalence was practically reduced to zero. These results seem to point to an effect of breastfeeding that is, at least, doubly beneficial: reduction in nonnutritive sucking habits and protection against posterior crossbite. This last effect was mentioned by Viggiano et al<sup>18</sup> and Karjalainen et al.<sup>19</sup> Furthermore, exclusive breastfeeding reduces the use of feeding bottles, which probably overstimulates buccinator muscle contraction activity, generating negative pressures inside the oral cavity and perhaps predisposing to a reduction in the maxillary dental arch width. During breastfeeding, the muscular mechanisms involved are different, with repeated advance and withdrawal of the tongue and mandible. Probably other beneficial effects of breastfeeding might be related, such as strengthening the immunologic system and the consequent reduction in respiratory problems, which

can also interfere with the development of dental occlusion.<sup>31</sup>

Furthermore, Victora et al<sup>29</sup> affirmed that introduction of the feeding bottle could predispose the child to early weaning because the milk is obtained more easily, causing the baby to gradually reject the breast. On the other hand, early weaning or complete absence of breastfeeding might be caused by other factors—eg, insufficient mother's milk, unfavorable breast anatomy, mother's lack of interest or emotional problems, or even because maternity leave has ended. In these cases, the first habit to be introduced to feed the child is almost always the feeding bottle, which satisfies only the baby's physiologic hunger but not its need to suck, which is generally compensated by introducing the pacifier.

Various factors could explain the origins of so many controversies with respect to the relationship between breastfeeding duration and the development of malocclusions. Many studies suggested that breastfeeding seems to help reduce the acquisition of nonnutritive sucking habits.<sup>8,11,12,18,19,21,28-30,31,32</sup> Because these habits are well-known etiologic factors of malocclusions, it could be expected that breastfeeding for prolonged periods would help to prevent the acquisition of such habits and, consequently, the associated malocclusions.<sup>7,8,12,13,17-19,21,24-28,30,32,33</sup> Nevertheless, the question appears to be more complex, since most published studies could not clearly show a well-defined interrelationship between exclusive breastfeeding duration and the development of malocclusions. How can it be explained? There are many possible hypotheses, ranging from factors related to the size of samples, inclusion and exclusion criteria, calibration of examiners, the method of dividing the sample groups, malocclusion assessments and classification modes, interference of nonnutritive sucking habits and feeding methods, and many others. Therefore, it is not surprising to find many controversies. This study seems to have overcome some of these limitations, by working with a sample sufficiently large, combined with enhanced selection criteria, careful division of the subgroups, adequate assessment methods, and the use of statistical analyses compatible with the nature of this study. These data indicated that prolonged breastfeeding duration can strongly reduce the prevalence of posterior crossbite during the deciduous dentition.

Our results agree with and provide additional support for the World Health Organization's recommendation that children should be exclusively breastfed for a minimum of 6 months.<sup>10</sup> Moreover, our results also point out that lengthening this period can have additional beneficial effects, since the group of children

breastfed for more than 12 months had a prevalence of posterior crossbite of only 2.2%, whereas the group breastfed between 6 and 12 months had a prevalence of 8.3% for this malocclusion. In contrast, the group of children who were never breastfed had a 31.1% prevalence of posterior crossbite.

## CONCLUSIONS

These results show an association between exclusive breastfeeding duration and the prevalence of posterior crossbite in the deciduous dentition. Children who were breastfed for more than 12 months had a 20-fold lower risk for the development of posterior crossbite compared with children who were never breastfed and also a 5-fold lower risk compared with those breastfed between 6 and 12 months.

## REFERENCES

- Clemens J, Elyazeed RA, Rao M, Savarino S, Morsy BZ, Kim Y, et al. Early initiation of breastfeeding and the risk of infant diarrhea in rural Egypt. *Pediatrics* 1999;104:e3.
- Oddy WH, Sherriff JL, Klerk NH, Kendall GE, Sly PD, Beilin LJ, et al. The relation of breastfeeding and body mass index to asthma and atopy in children: a prospective cohort study to age 6 years. *Am J Public Health* 2004;94:1531-7.
- Kramer MS, Matush L, Vanilovich I, Platt R, Bogdanovich N, Sevkovska Z, et al. Effect of prolonged and exclusive breast feeding on risk of allergy and asthma: cluster randomized trial. *BMJ* 2007;335:782-3.
- Dini EL, Holt RD, Bedi R. Caries and its association with infant feeding and oral health-related behaviours in 3-4-year-old Brazilian children. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2000;28:241-8.
- Nainar SM, Mohammed S. Diet counseling during the infant oral health visit. *Pediatr Dent* 2004;26:459-62.
- Azevedo TD, Bezerra AC, Toledo OA. Feeding habits and severe early childhood caries in Brazilian preschool children. *Pediatr Dent* 2005;27:28-33.
- Westover KM, DiLoreto MK, Shearer TR. The relationship of breastfeeding to oral development and dental concerns. *ASDC J Dent Child* 1989;56:140-3.
- Legovic M, Ostric L. The effects of feeding methods on the growth of the jaws in infants. *ASDC J Dent Child* 1991;58:253-5.
- Degano MP, Degano RA. Breastfeeding and oral health. *N Y State Dent J* 1993;59:30-2.
- Butte NF, Lopez-Alarcon MG, Gaza C. Nutrient adequacy of exclusive breastfeeding for the term infant during the first six months of life. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2002.
- Finocchi LL. Breast feeding, bottle feeding and their impact on oral habits. A review of the literature. *Dent Hyg (Chic)* 1982;56:21-5.
- Farsi NMA, Salama FS, Pedo C. Sucking habits in Saudi children: prevalence, contributing factors and effects on the primary dentition. *Pediatr Dent* 1997;19:28-33.
- Larsson E. Sucking, chewing, and feeding habits and the development of crossbite: a longitudinal study of girls from birth to 3 years of age. *Angle Orthod* 2001;71:116-9.
- Aznar T, Galán AF, Marin I, Domínguez A. Dental arch diameters and relationships to oral habits. *Angle Orthod* 2006;76:441-5.
- Mossey PA. The heritability of malocclusion: part 2. The influence of genetics in malocclusion. *Br J Orthod* 1999;26:195-203.
- Ogaard B, Larsson E, Lindsten R. The effect of sucking habits, cohort, sex, intercanine arch widths, and breast or bottle feeding on posterior crossbite in Norwegian and Swedish 3-year-old children. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1994;106:161-6.
- Warren JJ, Bishara SE. Duration of nutritive and nonnutritive sucking behaviors and their effects on the dental arches in the primary dentition. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2002;121:347-56.
- Viggiano D, Fasano D, Monaco G, Strohmenger L. Breastfeeding, bottle feeding, and non-nutritive sucking: effects on occlusion in deciduous dentition. *Arch Dis Child* 2004;89:1121-3.
- Karjalainen S, Ronning O, Lapinleimu H, Simell O. Association between early weaning, non-nutritive sucking habits and occlusal anomalies in 3-year-old Finnish children. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 1999;9:169-73.
- Labbok MH, Hendershot GE. Does breast-feeding protect against malocclusion? An analysis of the 1981 Child Health Supplement to the National Health Interview Survey. *Am J Prev Med* 1987;3:227-32.
- Peres KG, Barros AJD, Peres MA, Victora CM. Effects of breastfeeding and sucking habits on malocclusion in a birth cohort study. *Rev Saude Publica* 2007;41:343-50.
- Malandris M, Mahoney EK. Aetiology, diagnosis and treatment of posterior crossbites in the primary dentition. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2004;14:155-66.
- Kisling E, Krebs G. Patterns of occlusion in 3-year-old Danish children. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 1976;4:152-9.
- Scavone H Jr, Ferreira RI, Mendes TE, Ferreira FV. Prevalence of posterior crossbite among pacifier users: a study in the deciduous dentition. *Braz Oral Res* 2007;21:153-8.
- Larsson E. Prevalence of crossbite among children with prolonged dummy- and finger-sucking habit. *Swed Dent J* 1983;7:115-9.
- Modéer T, Odenrick L, Lindner A. Sucking habits and their relation to posterior cross-bite in 4-year-old children. *Scand J Dent Res* 1982;90:323-8.
- Infante PF. An epidemiologic study of finger habits in preschool children, as related to malocclusion, socioeconomic status, race, sex, and size of community. *ASDC J Dent Child* 1976;43:33-8.
- Turgeon-O'Brien H, Lachapelle D, Gagnon PF, Larocque I, Maheu-Robert L. Nutritive and nonnutritive sucking habits: a review. *ASDC J Dent Child* 1996;63:321-7.
- Victora CG, Behague DP, Barros FC, Olinto TA, Weiderpass E. Pacifier use and short breastfeeding duration: cause, consequence, or coincidence? *Pediatrics* 1997;99:445-53.
- López del Valle LM, Singh D, Feliciano N, Machuca MC. Associations between a history of breast feeding, malocclusion and parafunctional habits in Puerto Rican children. *P R Health Sci J* 2006;25:31-4.
- Trawitzki LVV, Anselmo-Lima WT, Melchior MO, Grechi TH, Valera FCP. Breast-feeding and deleterious oral habits in mouth and nose breathers. *Rev Bras Otorrinolaringol* 2005;71:747-51.
- Bishara SE, Warren JJ, Proffitt B, Levy SM. Changes in the prevalence of nonnutritive sucking patterns in the first 8 years of life. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2006;130:31-6.
- Bishara SE, Nowak AJ, Kohout FJ, Heckert A, Hogan MM. Influence of feeding and non-nutritive sucking methods on the development of the dental arches: longitudinal study of the first 18 months of life. *Pediatr Dent* 1987;9:13-23.